



Dietary crude protein requirement of *Tilapia nilotica* fry

Santiago, Corazon B.; Bañes-Aldaba, M. & Laron, M. A.

Date published: 1981

To cite this document : Santiago, C. B., Bañes-Aldaba, M., & Laron, M. A. (1981). Dietary crude protein requirement of *Tilapia nilotica* fry. SEAFDEC Aquaculture Department Quarterly Research Report, 5(3), 9–13.

Keywords : Artificial feeding, Diets, Fish culture, Nutritional requirements, Proteins, *Tilapia nilotica*

To link to this document : <http://hdl.handle.net/10862/2392>

Share on :      

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN TO SEE THE FULL TEXT

This content was downloaded from [SEAFDEC/AQD Institutional Repository \(SAIR\)](#) - the official digital repository of scholarly and research information of the department

Downloaded by: [Anonymous]

On: November 12, 2015 at 10:13 AM CST

IP Address: 122.55.1.77



Follow us on:  Facebook |  Twitter |  Google Plus |  Instagram

Library & Data Banking Services Section | Training & Information Division

Aquaculture Department | Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center (SEAFDEC)

Tigbauan, Iloilo 5021 Philippines | Tel: (63-33) 330 7088, (63-33) 330 7000 loc 1340 | Fax: (63-33) 330 7088

Website: www.seafdec.org.ph | Email: library@seafdec.org.ph

Copyright © 2011-2015 SEAFDEC Aquaculture Department.

Dietary crude protein requirement of *Tilapia nilotica* fry

C.B. Santiago, M. Bañes-Aldaba
and M.A. Laron

To determine growth and survival of *Tilapia nilotica* fry fed formulated practical dry diets with varying crude protein levels, fish were stocked at three per liter in wooden tank compartments or glass aquaria filled with 50 or 35 l of fresh water in three separate feeding trials. Iso-caloric practical diets containing 20, 25, 30 and 35% crude protein were fed to the fry at 15% of fish biomass daily for seven weeks in the first two trials (Table 1). Another set of diets containing 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45 and 50% crude protein were given for eight weeks in trial 3 (Table 2).

Weight gains and increases in total length of *T. nilotica* fry were directly related to the dietary crude protein level up to 35% in the first two trials (Table 3). Growth of the fry in trial 3 (Table 4) was significantly highest at 35% crude protein. Growth rates were depressed at protein levels higher than 35%. In channel catfish, weight gain was lower when high-protein (42%) diet with insufficient non-protein energy was used than when the diet contained medium percentage (36%) of protein with same low level of energy, which indicated that when too much of the calories come from protein, efficiency of diet utilization is suppressed (Prather and Lovell, 1973; Lovell, 1976). The same could be true for tilapia fry fed isocaloric diets in this study.

Growth rate of fry seemed affected by water temperature. As there was a lowering of ambient temperature from June to December, growth slowed down from trial 1 to trial 3.

Survival rate was significantly high at 35% crude protein compared to 20% (trial 1) or 30% (trial 2) crude protein level. Survival rate of 35% crude protein in trial 3 was not significantly different from all other treatments.

On the basis of growth, survival and feed conversion, *T. nilotica* fry required 35% crude protein in the practical diets given at 15% of fish biomass.

Feed conversion values were best at 35% dietary crude protein in all trials. Less efficient feed conversion were obtained at protein levels higher or lower than 35%.

Table 1. Percentage composition of experimental diets with varying crude protein levels for trials 1 and 2.

| Ingredients | D I E T | | | |
|--|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Fish meal | 17.24 | 21.55 | 25.86 | 30.17 |
| Soybean oil meal | 14.83 | 18.54 | 22.25 | 25.95 |
| Ipil-ipil leaf meal | 4.63 | 5.79 | 6.94 | 8.10 |
| Copra meal | 6.56 | 8.20 | 9.84 | 11.48 |
| Rice bran | 6.99 | 8.74 | 10.49 | 12.24 |
| Dextrin | 37.42 | 25.85 | 14.29 | 2.73 |
| Cod liver oil | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| Vegetable oil | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| Starch | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Vitamin premix ¹ | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 |
| Mineral premix ¹ | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| B. H. T. | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| Estimated crude protein (%) | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 |
| Analyzed crude protein (%) (as fed) | 20.9 | 24.9 | 31.3 | 36.0 |
| Estimated digestible energy (Kcal/100 g) ² | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 |

^{1/} For complete and practical diets (NRC, 1977).

^{2/} Based on values for channel catfish: protein, 3.5 Kcal/g; 8.1 Kcal/g; NFE, 2.5 Kcal/g (NRC, 1977; Wilson, 1977).

Table 2. Percentage composition of experimental diets with varying crude protein levels for trial 3

| Ingredient | D I E T | | | | | | |
|--|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Fish meal (63.5% crude protein) | 31.50 | 39.37 | 47.24 | 55.12 | 63.0 | 70.87 | 78.74 |
| Dextrin | 38.95 | 33.78 | 28.60 | 23.42 | 18.23 | 13.06 | 7.93 |
| Cod liver oil | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Vegetable oil | 9.00 | 8.00 | 7.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 |
| Starch | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Vitamin premix | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 |
| Mineral premix | 3.60 | 3.60 | 3.60 | 3.60 | 3.60 | 3.60 | 3.60 |
| B. H. T. | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| Celite | 10.22 | 8.52 | 6.83 | 5.13 | 3.44 | 1.74 | 0.0 |
| Estimated crude protein (%) | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| Estimated digestible energy (Kcal/100 g) | 278 | 278 | 278 | 278 | 278 | 278 | 278 |

Protein requirement of fishes generally vary according to species. Within the same species the requirement varies with size or age of fish, water temperature, salinity, protein quality, amount of non-protein energy, daily feed allowance and culture system (Andrews, 1977; Delong *et al.*, 1976; Lovell, 1977; NRC, 1977).

Table 3. Mean weight gains, increases in total length (TL), survival rates and feed conversions of *T. nilotica* fry fed varying dietary crude protein levels in trials 1 and 2.

| Crude Protein (%) | Trial 1 | | | | Trial 2 | | | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Weight gain (g) ^{1/} | Increase in TL (mm) ^{1/} | Survival rate (%) ^{2/} | Feed Conversion ^{2/} | Weight gain (g) ^{1/} | Increase in TL (mm) ^{1/} | Survival rate (%) ^{2/} | Feed Conversion ^{1/} |
| 20 | 1.2821 | 32 | 25 ^b | 3.18 ^a | 0.7913 | 20.2 | 42 ^{ab} | 2.61 |
| 25 | 1.3011 | 32 | 43 ^a | 2.09 ^b | 0.9212 | 22.4 | 34 ^b | 2.64 |
| 30 | 1.4332 | 33 | 36 ^{ab} | 2.28 ^b | 1.0434 | 21.0 | 52 ^a | 2.38 |
| 35 | 1.4950 | 33 | 43 ^a | 1.86 ^b | 1.1868 | 22.7 | 50 ^a | 2.30 |

^{1/} Means are not significantly different ($P = 0.05$). Initial measurements were 0.020 g and 10 mm TL for trial 1; 0.0304 g and 12 mm TL for trial 2.

^{2/} Means followed by the same superscript are not significantly different ($P = 0.05$)

Table 4. Mean weight gains, increases in total length (TL), survival rates and feed conversions of *T. nilotica* fry fed varying dietary crude protein levels (trial 3)¹

| Crude protein (%) | Weight gain (g) | Increase in TL (mm) | Survival rate (%) | Feed Conversion |
|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 20 | 0.3362 ^b | 16.6 ^{ab} | 22 ^b | 5.38 ^a |
| 25 | 0.2894 ^b | 14.7 ^b | 40 ^{ab} | 2.54 ^{ab} |
| 30 | 0.3402 ^b | 14.6 ^b | 42 ^{ab} | 2.34 ^{ab} |
| 35 | 0.5945 ^a | 20.0 ^a | 49 ^{ab} | 1.78 ^b |
| 40 | 0.2835 ^b | 12.9 ^b | 50 ^{ab} | 2.35 ^{ab} |
| 45 | 0.3306 ^b | 15.8 ^{ab} | 47 ^{ab} | 3.05 ^{ab} |
| 50 | 0.2630 ^b | 13.8 ^b | 56 ^a | 3.03 ^{ab} |

^{1/} Means followed by the same superscript are not significantly different ($P = 0.05$). Initial measurements were 0.0128 g and 10 mm TL.

Literature cited:

- Andrews, J.W., 1977. Protein requirements. In: R.R. Stickney and R.T. Lovell (Editors). Nutrition and Feeding of Channel Catfish. Southern Cooperative Series Bulletin 218. Ala. Agric. Expt. Stn. Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama, pp. 10-13.
- Delong, D.C., Halver, J.E. and Metz, E.T., 1958. Nutrition of salmonid fish – IV. Protein requirements of chinook salmon at two water temperatures. J. Nutr., 65: 589-599.
- Lovell, T., 1976. Fish feed and nutrition-energy. Commercial Fish Farmer and Aquaculture News. 2(4): 40-41.
- _____, 1977. Feeding practices. In: R.R. Stickney and R.T. Lovell (Editors), Nutrition and Feeding of Channel Catfish. Southern Cooperative Series Bulletin 218. Ala. Agric. Expt. Stn. Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama, pp.50-55.
- Prather, E.E. and Lovell, R.T., 1973. Response of intensively fed channel catfish to diets containing various protein-energy ratios. Proc. 27th Annual Meeting Southeastern Assoc. of Game Fish Comm., 27:455-459.